

Review Situation Briefly:

NSA Reg 11-3 → NSASAB's provisions
for Panels - Supervisory resp. to RD

NSASAB established Panels - Three
32 now available

10 Pending

Fourth Panel - Operations & OR
funding and

Certain amount of administrative
difficulties were foreseen - particularly
in maintaining a continuously consistent
policy relationship with the members.

Ex - 17s vs phone calls

↳ Meeting in S/Ass'ts Office → Staff function
As an ^{interim} preventive measure:

D/F C/S 9 April - pending

publication of official NSA directive

Reaction: "Why do it this
way - This isn't the way we've
been doing it"

5 specific requests refused or delayed inordinately

Violations of DIF - 441^{1/2} e

→ Specific requests ignored

RE M. H. - Turner
Menzel - Bradley-Turner
Albert - Wyllie

Problems Involved:

Personal Services Contracts vs 90 day appointments
Consultants vs experts - rates of pay
Panel members - Board - cons - contr
Relationships of consultants & experts to
established billets
 - when & where - in which category

Orders: Regular - Require appointments
 Institutional - no appointments
 AG: no authority
in USA to issue - yet they have been issued
quite extensively

Appointments: WAE - must they
receive payment? - Compt. Gen'l.'s decisions

Some WAE's consider themselves
w.o.c.s - but only so permitted SECDEF

(3)

Payment — Time Cards
 — over payments — for price of 4
 — working at home, submitting
 cards for payment

S.E.C — category A, B, C, D, etc (USC 13)
 trip itineraries

NSA

DIR's Position — administratively poor,
 inconsistent; legally — shaky
 and uncertain; operationally — confusing

^{of Agency personnel}
Efforts, in past — and Today —
 have been slanted toward curing the
~~system~~ immediate symptom →
 compounding of errors and mushrooming
 difficulties

Solution — one focal point
 of responsibility

Adv: Adm & legal → get on firm ground
 Registry of all consultants
 available to all activities — was